

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. 2x. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,802	07/02/2003	John M. Lake	RSW920030082US1	5358	
48816 7	590 01/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
VAN LEEUWEN & VAN LEEUWEN			ROSE, HELEN	ROSE, HELENE ROBERTA	
P.O. BOX 90609 AUSTIN, TX 78709-0609			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2163		
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/612,802	LAKE, JOHN M.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Helene R. Rose	2163				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the main and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	July 2003.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗀 -	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(c)						
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 · No(s)/Mail Date <u>2 <i>July</i> 2003</u> .	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2163

#### **Detailed Action**

1. Claims 1-26 have been presented for examination.

2. Claims 1-26 have been rejected.

## Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sayag (US Patent No. 6,898,602).

#### Claims 1,10,15,and 24-26:

Regarding claims 1,10,15, and 24-26, although claims 1 and 24 teach a method, claims 15 and 26 teach a computer program product and claims 10 and 25 teach an information handling system. Thus, the following claims 1,10,15, and 24-26 implements the same limitations to carry out the invention.

Sayag teaches a method/computer program product/system for automatically nullifying (column 2, lines 23-29) variables in a middleware computer program (see Figure 1, all features, Sayag), said method/computer program product/system comprising:

Art Unit: 2163

one or more processors (column 4, lines 53-57 and column 2, lines 64-65, wherein a data processing system is known as a system that includes computer systems and associated personnel, that performs input, processing storage, output, and control functions to accomplish a sequence of operations on data, Sayag);

a memory accessible by the processors (columns 4-5, lines 65-67 and lines 1-5, Sayag); a middleware software application that runs on the operating system (column 5, lines 8-10, wherein a middleware software application is known as a communication layer that allows applications to interact across hardware and network environments, Sayag), the middleware application including a garbage collected heap (column 2, lines 29-30, wherein the garbage collector is invoked at each instruction, Sayag); and

a nullification tool for nullifying program references (column 2, lines 64-67, Sayag<sup>1</sup>), the nullification tool comprising steps effective to:

reading one or more variables included in one or more activation records included in the computer program (column 5, lines 17-38, wherein reading variable excessive\_gc is made and reading variable trace\_usage is made, and if variable is set then a display if memory usage is activated and column 6, lines 1-5, wherein one activation record mallocHeapObject is disclosed and a program statement is read from working memory and evaluated, Sayag);

identifying a program statement in the program (column 3, lines 15-19, Sayag) where the variable is last used (column 7, line 48, wherein last instruction of the application is identified, Sayag); and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Examiner interprets the term "<u>nullification tool</u>" to be an act of nullifying; making null and void; counteracting or overriding the effect or force of something. Therefore the tool utilized within Sayag invention that carries out the same function of a nullification tool is identified within (columns 6-7, lines 66-67 and lines 1-17 and column 8, line 63, Sayag).

Art Unit: 2163

inserting a nullification statement after the identified program statement (column 5, lines 47-48, wherein the application may be installed in a memory as software and column 7, lines 37-38, wherein installed in a development program, Sayag<sup>2</sup>), the nullification statement adapted to nullify the identified last-used variable (column 7, line 48, wherein last instruction of the application is identified, Sayag);

writing a plurality of program statements (column 6, lines 29-32, wherein a determination is made whether the program statements remain to be written, if the decision step is positive, Sayag), including the identified program statement, to a resulting code file (column 6, lines 20-23, Sayag); and writing the nullification statement to the resulting code file (column 6, lines 20-23, Sayag) in a position subsequent to the identified program statement (column 3, lines 11-14, wherein after running the garbage collector, and determining the amount of the memory that is still in use of the heap, Sayag).

## Claims 2,11, and 16:

Regarding claims 2,11, and 16 Sayag teaches wherein the means for reading, means for identifying (column 3, lines 15-19, Sayag), and means for inserting are each performed by a compiler (column 5, lines 47-52, wherein a compiler is any program that transfer one set of symbols into another by a set of semantic rules, Sayag).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Examiner interprets the term "<u>nullification statement</u>" to be an act of nullifying; making null and void; counteracting or overriding the effect or force of something within a program. Therefore, the nullification statement within Sayag invention is defined as wherein the program statement is executed (column 6, line 49, Sayag).

Art Unit: 2163

# Claims 3,12,and 17:

Regarding claims 3,12, and 17 Sayag teaches the computer program product further comprising:

Page 5

means for writing the activation records, program statement (column 6, lines 29-32, wherein a determination is made whether the program statements remain to be written, if the decision step is positive, Sayag), and nullification statement to a resulting code file (column 6, lines 20-23, Sayag).

# Claims 4,13, and 18:

Regarding claims 4,13, and 18 Sayag teaches wherein at least one of the variables reference an object stored in a garbage collected memory heap (column 3, lines 1-14, Sayag).

## Claims 5 and 19:

Regarding claims 5 and 19 Sayag teaches wherein the activation records include one or more local variable definitions (column 6, lines 6-9, Sayag).

## Claims 6 and 20:

Regarding claims 6 and 20 Sayag teaches wherein the activation records include one or more argument parameters (column 5, lines 21-22, wherein parameter is defined, Sayag)

## Claims 7,14, and 21:

Regarding claims 7,14, and 21 Sayag teaches wherein the objects are stored in a garbage collected heap stored in a computer memory (column 3, lines 1-14), the method further comprising:

Art Unit: 2163

means for executing a garbage collection program (column 2, lines 29-30, wherein the garbage collector is invoked at each instruction, Sayag);

means for identifying (column 3, lines 7-8, Sayag), by the garbage collection program (column 2, lines 29-30, Sayag), one of the objects that was previously referenced by one of the variables included in the nullification statement (column 2, lines 42-44, Sayag); and

means for reclaiming the memory occupied by the identified object (column 2, lines 44-48, Sayag).

## Claims 8 and 22:

Regarding claims 8 and 22. Sayag teaches the computer program product further comprising:

means for executing a compiler to perform the reading (column 3, lines 6-8, wherein executing code of the application and column 5, lines 47-52, wherein a compiler is any program that transfer one set of symbols into another by a set of semantic rules, Sagay);

identifying (column 3, lines 7-8, Sayag) and inserting (column 5, lines 48-52, wherein the application may be installed in a memory as software and column 7, lines 37-38, wherein installed in a development program, Sayag);

means for writing a plurality of program statements including the program statement (column 6, lines 29-32, wherein a determination is made whether the program statements remain to be written, if the decision step is positive, Sayag) to a resulting code file (column 6, lines 20-23, Sayag);

means for writing the nullification statement to the resulting code file (column 6, lines 20-23, Sagay) in a position subsequent to the identified program statement (column 3, lines 11-

Art Unit: 2163

14, wherein after running the garbage collector, and determining the amount of the memory that is still in use of the heap, Sayag).

## Claims 9 and 23:

Regarding claims 9 and 23. Sayag teaches the computer program product further comprising:

means for identifying one or more statements from the plurality of statements (column 3,lines 15-19, Sayag) where one or more other objects are last used (column 7, line 48, wherein last instruction of the application is identified, Sayag); and

means for writing nullification statements (column 6, lines 29-32, Sayag) for each of the other objects following the identified statement corresponding to the object's last use to the resulting code file (column 3, lines 11-19, Sayag).

## **Prior Art of Record**

1. Sayag (US Patent No. 6,898,602) discloses a method and apparatus for the intensive use of garbage collection in order to determine the exact amount of memory that is consumed by a running application at any point of its execution, wherein a garbage collector executes immediately prior to allocations of memory during execution of a program.

#### **Point of Contact**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helene R. Rose whose telephone number is (571) 272-0749. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm M-F.

Art Unit: 2163

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Helene R Rose Technology Center 2100 January 11, 2006

SAFET METJANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT CARP
TECHNOLOGY CENTER

g A